

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/525,065	03/1	4/2000	Hiroyoshi Yoshida	35.C14351 7626	
5514	7590	01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRI 30 ROCKEF		A HARPER & SO	DONAGHUE, LARRY D		
NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•		2154	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commons	09/525,065	YOSHIDA, HIROYOSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Larry D Donaghue	2154 -			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	<u>lly 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3-7 and 9-13 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15.5.7, and 10-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3.6 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		•			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		atent Application (PTO-152)			

- 1. Claims 1, 3-7 and 9-13 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claims 3.6 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McLachlan et al. (6,144,458).

McLachlan et al. taught the invention (claim 1,5, and 10-13) to the extent claimed including an input step, of inputting data (col. 2, lines 32-35); a transmission step, of transmitting the data inputted in said input step to a destination (col. 1, lines 45-54); a discrimination step, of discriminating an attribute of the data inputted in said input step;

a storage step, of storing the data inputted in said input step as a file in a storage unit (col. 3, lines 50-57); and a control step, of controlling a transmission operation in said transmission step in accordance with a discrimination result obtained in said discrimination step wherein said control step includes selectively controlling such that, in said transmission step, the data inputted in said input step is transmitted to the destination, or such that, in said transmission step, storage location information for accessing the file, which is stored in the storage unit, is transmitted to the destination, in accordance with the discrimination result obtained in said discrimination step (col. 1, lines 45-54).

Note determining whether the object is stored on the destination unit is a characteristic of the destination unit. Determining whether the data file matches other data files is an attribute of the data file. Further as computer memory is a model of the printer memory it would be accessible by the identifier.

Therefore, McLachlan et al. taught determining whether to send the data or an indication as to the storage location of the data, based on a discrimination step.

As to claim 4, McLachlan et al. taught discrimination unit discriminates a data amount of the data inputted by said input unit (col. 3, lines 66-67, col. 2, line 67 – col. 3, line 2).

As to claim 9, McLachlan et al. taught discrimination unit discriminates a processing ability of a device of the destination (col. 3, lines 66-67, col. 2, line 67 – col. 3, line 2). Knowing if any memory at the destination is available is a processing ability

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-7, and 9-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Larry D Donaghue whose telephone number is 571-272-3962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571-272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/525,065

Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LARRY D. DONAGHUE